

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States. Papent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

20 Be 450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/933,819	08/22/2001	Shoichi Kamano	032865-012	7236		
75	7590 10/11/2005			EXAMINER		
William C. Rowland BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.			MEONSKE, TONIA L			
P.O. Box 1404	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			PAPER NUMBER		
Alexandria, VA 22313-1404			2181			
		DATE MAILED: 10/11/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

pe

Applic	ation N	lumber

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
09/933,819	KAMANO ET AL.	
	Art Unit	
Eddie P. Chan	2183	

Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review



This is in	response to	the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request to	or Review filed	<u>08/22/2005</u> .	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Re	eview filed <u>06/22/2005</u> .
 Improper Request – The Request is improper a reason(s): 	and a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concuent of the request does not include reasons why a reason of the request does not include the reasons why a reason of the reasons why a reason of the reasons who are reasons who are reasons who are reasons when reasons with the reasons of the reasons when reasons were reasons when reasons when reasons were reasons when reasons were reasons when reasons when reasons when reasons were reasons were reasons when reasons were reasons when reasons were reasons were reasons when reasons were reasons when reasons were reasons were reasons when reasons were reasons when reasons were reasons were reasons when reasons were reasons when reasons were reasons were reasons when reasons were reasons when reasons were reasons were reasons when reasons were reasons when reasons were reasons were reasons when reasons were reasons when reasons were reasons were reasons when reasons were reasons when reasons were reasons were reasons when reasons were reasons were reasons when reasons were reasons were reasons where reasons were reasons were reasons where reasons were reasons were reasons where reasons were reasons where reasons were reasons were reasons where reasons were reasons were reasons where reasons were reas	eview is appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to run the mail date of the last Office communication, if no N	
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Inte held. The application remains under appeal because the is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this derunning from the receipt of the notice of appeal, which appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based of the notice of appeal, as applicable.	there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant th 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal ecision, or the balance of the two-month time period lever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the
The panel has determined the status of the contain(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	claim(s) is as follows:
3. Allowable application – A conference has been Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits reapplicant at this time.	
4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been action will be mailed. No further action is required by	
All participants:	
(1) <u>Eddie P. Chan</u> .	(3) <u>Dov Popovici</u>
(O) Tania Madralia	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100**

Part of Paper No.